

to file his objections to the report. Doc. No. 215. On May 28, 2019, Ferguson filed his objections to the report. Doc. No. 217.

A party who files timely, written objections to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation is entitled to a *de novo* determination of those findings or recommendations to which the party specifically objects. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(2)-(3). "Parties filing objections must specifically identify those findings [to which they object]. Frivolous, conclusive or general objections need not be considered by the district court." *Nettles v. Wainwright*, 677 F.2d 404, 410 n.8 (5th Cir. 1982) (en banc), *overruled on other grounds by Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

After reviewing Ferguson's objections, the court finds that the objections are without merit.

It is, therefore, **ORDERED** that the magistrate judge's "Report and Recommendation Denying Motion for Reconsideration" (Doc. No. 212) remains **ADOPTED**, Ferguson's "Motion to Amend Final Judgment" (Doc. No. 210) remains **DENIED**, and that any other pending motions are **DENIED as moot**.

Signed this date

Jun 3, 2019



MARCIA A. CRONE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE